



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,241	10/22/2003	Huy D. Phan	2024729-7033342001 (03-16)	8377
7590	01/10/2005		EXAMINER KASZTEJNA, MATTHEW JOHN	
Bingham McCutchen, LLP Suite 1800 Three Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			ART UNIT 3739	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/692,241	PHAN, HUY D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew J Kasztejna	3739	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 120(2) in Figures 4a-c and 210(5) in Figure 7. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 7 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,083,222 to Klein et al.

Art Unit: 3739

In regards to claims 1 and 29, Klein et al. disclose an ablation device 10 comprising: a shaft 12 comprising proximal and distal ends, at least a portion of the shaft being bendable 22 to form a desired configuration; a clamp assembly 26 on the distal end of the shaft, the clamp assembly comprising first 30 and second 32 opposing jaws, at least one of the first and second jaws being movable relative to the other to open and close the clamp assembly; first and second electrodes on the first and second jaws, respectively; and a handle 54 on the proximal end of the shaft (see Col. 4, Lines 40-65 and Fig. 1). The apparatus of Klein et al. is considered to be inherently capable of performing the recited method claim.

In regards to claim 2, Klein et al. disclose an ablation device 10 wherein at least a portion of the shaft is capable of being rotated about a longitudinal axis of the shaft (see Col. 6, Lines 38-40).

In regards to claim 3, Klein et al. disclose an ablation device 10 where in the shaft comprises a first segment 24 and a second segment 20 rotatably secured to the first segment (see Fig. 1).

In regards to claim 6, Klein et al. disclose an ablation device 10 wherein at least a portion of the shaft is made from a malleable material (see Col. 7, Line 49 – Col. 8, Line 18).

In regards to claim 7, Klein et al. disclose an ablation device 10 wherein the shaft further comprises a lumen 16 extending between the proximal and distal ends (see Col. 4, Lines 40-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-5, 7-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,483,562 to Schoolman in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,152,923 to Ryan.

In regards to claims 1, 14, 19, 29 and 32-39, Schoolman discloses a device comprising: a shaft 2 comprising proximal and distal ends, at least a portion of the shaft being bendable 22 to form a desired configuration; a clamp assembly 26 on the distal end of the shaft, the clamp assembly comprising first 12 and second 14 opposing jaws, at least one of the first and second jaws being movable relative to the other to open and close the clamp assembly; and a handle 7 on the proximal end of the shaft (see Col. 3, Lines 20-27 and Fig. 1) but is silent with respect to first and second electrodes on the first and second jaws, respectively. Ryan teaches of an analogous device having a pair of multi-pronged jaw members pivotally attached in opposing relation to one another and selectively movable between open and closed positions. Furthermore the forceps include at least one electrode disposed on the inner facing of each of the jaw members and a switch for selectively controlling electrosurgical energy to each member (see Col. 2, Lines 45-62). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to dispose first and second electrodes on the clamp assembly in the device of Schoolman in order to provide a tool capable of sealing, cauterizing,

Art Unit: 3739

coagulating and/or cutting vessels and vascular tissue at multiple sites as taught by Ryan and is well known in the art. The device of Schoolman would then furthermore be inherently capable of performing the recited method claim.

In regards to claim 2 and 25, Schoolman discloses a device wherein at least a portion of the shaft is capable of being rotated about a longitudinal axis of the shaft (see Col. 2, Lines 16-19)).

In regards to claims 3 and 22, Schoolman discloses a device wherein the shaft comprises a first segment 7 and a second segment 2 rotatably secured to the first segment (see Fig. 1).

In regards to claim 4, Schoolman discloses a device wherein the second segment is rotatably secured to the first segment by a ball-bearing connection (Col. 3, Line 63 – Col. 4, Line 7).

In regards to claim 5, Schoolman discloses a device wherein the shaft comprises one or more polymer rings 31. The examiner takes official notice with regards to the use of polymeric substances for the construction of medical devices.

In regards to claims 7 and 20, Schoolman discloses a device wherein the shaft further comprises a lumen extending between the proximal and distal ends (see Fig. 3)

In regards to claims 8 and 21, Schoolman discloses a device further comprising: a tensioning device 150 located proximate to the proximal end of the shaft; and a wire 142 having a proximal end secured to the tensioning device and a distal end secured to the distal end of the shaft, at least a portion of the wire is disposed within the

lumen of the shaft; wherein the tensioning device is operable to create or adjust tension in the wire (see Col. 6 ,Lines 20-33).

In regards to claim 9, Schoolman discloses a device wherein the tensioning device comprises a knob 152 (see Fig. 6).

In regards to claim 10, Schoolman discloses a device further comprising: an actuating device 10 coupled to the handle; and a control wire 64 having a proximal end coupled to the actuating device and a distal end secured to the clamp assembly, a t tleast a portion of the control wire is disposed within the lumen of the shaft; wherein the actuating device is operable to create or adjust tension in the control wire (see Col. 4, Lines 23-48).

In regards to claim 11, Schoolman discloses a device wherein the actuating device comprises a first portion 10 and a second portion 59 rotatably secured to the first portion (see Col. 4, Lines 23-48).

In regards to claims 12 and 23, Schoolman discloses a device further comprising a spring 65 secured between the proximal end of the control wire and the actuating device (see Fig. 1).

In regards to claim 13 and 24, Schoolman discloses a device wherein the clamp assembly is rotatably secured to the distal end of the shaft (see Col. 6, Lines 34-38).

In regards to claim 15, Schoolman discloses a device wherein the second jaw remains approxiamately parallel to the first jaw as the second jaw is moved relative to the first jaw (see Col. 5, Lines 19-28 and Fig. 4)

In regards to claims 16 and 26, Schoolman discloses a device wherein at least a portion of the first jaw is capable of being bent into a desired shape (see Col. 5, Lines 19-38).

In regards to claims 17-18 and 27-28, Schoolman discloses a device with a clamp assembly but is silent with respect to the first and second electrodes operating in either a bipolar or unipolar arrangement. Ryan teaches of an analogous device having electrodes operating in a bipolar arrangement. Ryan furthermore teaches that is well known in the art that the electrosurgical configuration of such ablating instruments is classified into either a monopolar or bipolar arrangement (see Col. 1, Lines 23-43). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include electrodes on the clamp assembly of Schoolman that operate in either the bipolar or monopolar configuration in order to provide options for surgical setup as taught by Ryan and is well known in the art.

In regards to claims 30-31, Schoolman discloses a device inherently capable of performing the recited method claim, further comprising locking the shaft in the desired shape and creating compression in the shaft (see Col. 5, Lines 45-53).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5,522,788 to Kuzmak

U.S. Patent No. 6,139,563 to Cosgrove III et al

U.S. Patent No. 5,704,534 to Huitema et al.

Art Unit: 3739

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0062136 to Hillstead et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J Kasztejna whose telephone number is (571) 272-6086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJK

MK

1/5/05


BEVERLY M. FLANAGAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER